Details of Gadgil Formula and Views of State Governments thereon

2341. SHRI KAPIL VERMA:
MISS SAROJ KHAPARDE:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what are the details of the Gadgil Formula, as modified, for allocation of resources to States;

(b) whether the views of the State Governments have been ascertained on the formula before its implementation; and

(c) if so, which States have pleaded for allocation of more resources to the backward States and what is the reaction of the Central Government thereon?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI BHAGEY GOBARDHAN): (a) The allocation of Central Assistance to the States was made for the Seventh Plan on the basis of Modified Gadgil Formula as approved by the National Development Council in August, 1980. The principles embodied in the Modified Gadgil formula are as below:

(1) A lumpsum amount is set apart to meet the requirements of the Special Category States viz. Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Himachal Pradesh, Jammu & Kashmir, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Sikkim and Tripura.

(2) The balance amount of the divisible pool of Central Assistance is allocated among the remaining States on the basis of the following criteria and weights:

	Weightage %age
(i) Population	60
(ii) Per capita tax effort	10
(iii) Per capita Income below National average	20
(iv) Special Problems	10
TOTAL :	100

(b) Consultations with State Governments have been undertaken on possible modifications of the existing formula.

(c) States whose per capita income is below national average viz. Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh have generally pleaded for increasing the weight for criterion of backwardness in the formula which is presently 20 per cent for per capita income. Their suggestions would be taken into account in any proposals that are worked out for modification of the formula for decision by the National Development Council.

Participation of Central Government Employees in RSS

2342. SHRI SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the participation of Central Government employees in Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh is prohibited under the Conduct Rules;

(b) if so, the details of the Rules in this regard; and

(c) whether RSS members presently in service are liable for dismissal from service for breach of the Rules?

THE PRIME MINISTER (SHRI VISHWANATH PRATAP SINGH): (a) and (b) In terms of Rues 5(1) of Central Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964, no Government servant shall be a member of, or be otherwise associated with, any political party or any organisation which takes part in politics, nor shall he take part in, subscribe in aid of, or assist in any other manner, any political movement or activity. Further in terms of Rules 5(3) of the same Rules, if any question arises whether a party is a political party or whether any organisation takes part in politics, the decision of the Government thereon shall be final. Orders were issued on 30th November, 1966 clarifying *inter alia* that the activities of Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh were considered such as to attract the *provisions of the said Rules*.

(c) Any Government servant who violates the above Rules is liable to disciplinary action.

Directive to States to treat Lectures Selection Grade as Promotional Post

2343 PROF. SOURENDRA BHATTACHARJEE: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred Question 869 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 20th March, 1990 and state:

(a) whether Government propose to issue a directive to the States to the effect that Lecturer Selection Grade is a promotional post:

(b) whether certain State Governments are making placement in the post of Lecturer Selection Grade, reserving promotion for the post of Reader; and

(c) whether Government would take urgent steps to remedy this ignomity to senior teachers?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA): (a) and (b) No Sir. The Scheme of Revision of Pay Scales announced on 22-7-1988 provides that Lecturers who complete 8 years in the senior scale, as well as existing Lecturers having a total service of 16 years or more will be eligible for promotion/placement to the post of Reader/Lecturer Selection Grade respectively. Only those who, *inter-alia* have Ph. D. degree are eligible for promotion as Reader. Those who do not possess Ph.D. degree but otherwise fulfil the prescribed requirements are to be designated as Lecturer Selection Grade. They could offer themselves for a fresh assessment after obtaining Ph. D. and if found suitable would be given the designation of Reader.

(c) Does not arise.

Abolition of Ragging in Colleges and Universities

2344. DR. NARREDDY THULASI REDDY: *Will the PRIME MINISTER* be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to abolish ragging in colleges and universities, especially in professional colleges;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA): (a) to (c): Government is aware of the practice of "ragging" indulged in by students in colleges and universities. Whereas this practice is reprehensible, it is for the universities and college authorities and the students themselves to ensure that 'ragging' does not take place.

2345. [*Transferred to the 6th September*, 1990.]

Deletion of Article 311(2)(c) from the Constitution

2346. SHRI E. BALANANDAN: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to move an amendment to delete Article 311(2)(c) from the Constitution to allow